E-FILED on <u>5/4/10</u>

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES, AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED and ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

No. C-06-03717 RMW

Plaintiffs,

ORDER REGARDING MOTIONS TO SEAL

v.

INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY and IGT,

[Re Docket Nos. 705, 743]

Defendants.

Defendants International Game Technology and IGT (collectively "IGT") move to file under seal: (1) portions of its notice of motion and motion for leave to file first supplemental answer and counterclaims, (2) portions of its first supplemental answer and counterclaims, and (3) Exhibits B and C to the Declaration of Kristin Cleveland in support thereof. Plaintiffs Aristocrat Technologies, Australia PTY Limited, and Aristocrat Technologies, Inc. (collectively "Aristocrat") move to file under seal portions of its opposition to IGT's motion for additional depositions after the discovery deadline and Exhibit G to the Declaration of Robert J. Blanch in support thereof.

A request to seal must establish that the document, or portions thereof, is privileged or protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law. Civ. L. R. 79-5(a). The request must be narrowly tailored to seek sealing of only sealable material. *Id.* Having ORDER REGARDING MOTIONS TO SEAL —No. C-06-03717 RMW CCL

Case 5:06-cv-03717-RMW Document 794 Filed 05/04/10 Page 2 of 3

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

reviewed the material that IGT and Aristocrat seek to file under seal, the court has concerns about
the extent of material which the parties seek to file under seal. With the exception of the portions
identified in paragraph 3 of the Declaration of Kristin L. Cleveland in support of IGT's motion to
seal, it appears that there is no basis for filing the remainder of the material under seal. See Dkt. No
$706\P3$. The court therefore orders the parties to explain why the remaining material is sealable. If
the parties do not submit an explanation establishing that the rest of the material is sealable within
ten days, the motions to seal will be denied.

DATED: 5/4/10 | Mala M Whyte RONALD M. WHYTE

RONALD M. WHYTE
United States District Judge

1	Notice of this document has been electronically sent to:		
2	Counsel for Plaintiffs:		
3	Anthony R. de Alcaeus	adealcuaz@mwe.com	
5	Terrence Patrick McMahon Jeremy Todd Elman Robert J. Blanch, Jr.	tmcmahon@mwe.com jelman@mwe.com rblanch@mwe.com	
6	Phillip C. Ducker	pducker@mwe.com	
7	Counsel for Defendants:		
8	Jeffrey Stewart Love Daniel Justin Weinberg Gabriel M. Ramsey	jeffrey.love@klarquist.com dweinberg@orrick.com gramsey@orrick.com	
9	Garth Alan Winn Kristin L. Cleveland	garth.winn@klarquist.com kristin.cleveland@klarquist.com	
10	Laura Kieran Kieckhefer Michael J. Bettinger	kkieckhefer@orrick.com mike.bettinger@klgates.com	
11	Patrick Marshall Bible Robert T. Cruzen	patrick.bible@klarquist.com rob.cruzen@klarquist.com	
12	Stephanie Sue Nelson Eric Lance Wesenberg	stephanie.nelson@klarquist.com ewesenberg@orrick.com	
13	Effe Edifice Weschberg	ewesenberg & offick.com	
14	Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel that have not registered for e-filing under the court's CM/ECF program.		
15	registered for e-filling under the court's Civi/ECT program.		
16	Dated: 5/4/10	CCI	
17	Dateu	Chambers of Judge Whyte	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	ORDER REGARDING MOTIONS TO SEAL —No. C-06-03717 RMW CCL 3		